

Remarks

Claims 1- 6 and 11-16 are in this application.

The Examiner states that claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite as claims 5-6 lack antecedent basis because of the amendments to claim 1 cancelling the nonelected heterocyclic compounds. This is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner states that there are nonelected heterocyclic compounds recited on page 14, lines 2-15 and 26-29; page 15, lines 17-18; page 16, lines 20-25; page 17, lines 3-6 and 11-13; page 18, lines 6-7 and page 20, lines 14-15, 20-21 and 26-27 of the response filed on May 11, 2007.

Firstly, not all of the page and line numbers correspond to the response filed on May 11, 2007. For example, the first compound on page 14 begins on line 1, not line 2 and there are no compounds listed on page 20 of the response.

Secondly, claim 5 does not include the compounds corresponding to examples 4, 5, 24-28, 43, 44, 66, 83, 100-105, 111, 112, 118, 121, 123, 141, 142, 145, 146, 150-153 and 157 and the corresponding compounds are not included in claim 6. It is believed that claims 5 and 6 do not include any of the nonelected compounds. If this is incorrect, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner identify specifically those compounds that the Examiner believes read on the nonelected groups.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

All rights to file one or more divisional or continuation applications for any subject matter disclosed in this application and not presently claimed is preserved.

It is submitted that no outstanding issues remain and that this application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Janet I. Cord

Ladas & Parry LLP

26 West 61 Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No.33,778 (212-708-1935)